ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ.—ತಾ॥ 7-9-63ರಲ್ಲೇ ಧಾರವಾಡ ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಬೋರ್ಡನ್ನು ರಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಪ್ರೈವೆಟ್ ಎಜುಕೇಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನದನ್ಯರನ್ನು ನಾಮಕರಣ ಮಾಡುವುದರ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾದರೂ ಏನು ?

† Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Sir, this question has been permanently decided. There were two writ applications before the High Court and both have been dismissed. There is no irregularity so far as the appointments of the District Board of Dharwar.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know the Chairman out of these sixteen Members ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The other day, the Board has elected Mr. Sindur Siddappa as Chairman.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ.—ಅಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಡಿ. ಪಿ. ಐ. ರವರ ಸಲಹೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಅದನ್ನೂ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ, ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನೂ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಬಂದವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಕೆಳಗಿನವರಿಂದ ಶಿಫಾರ್ಸ್‌ಗಳೇನೋ ಬರುತ್ತವೆ, ಆದರೆ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಂಡವರನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ನದನ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ, ನನಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಕೆ. ಕಂಬ್ಳಿ.—ಇವರನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಡೇಟಿನಿಂದ, ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಅನ್‌ಟಿಲ್ ಫರ್ದರ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ಸ್ ಎಂತ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಆ ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—This question was examined by the Law Department and they were of the opinion that the District School Boards in Bombay area cannot be abolished as they were statutory bodies.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Is there any proposal before the Government to secure uniformity in regard to the Primary Education Act ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—We are trying to have a single Act for the entire State. As soon as that Act comes into being, naturally these statutory bodies will go away.

Cost incurred in creating special branch for the implementation of the Betterment Levy Act.

*Q.—488. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum).—

Will the Government be pleased to State :—

(*a*) what is the cost incurred by Government in creating special Branch for the implementation of the Betterment Levy Act (Irrigation), 1957 up till now ;

(*b*) the number of officers that were or have been appointed for the purpose and how long they are working ;

(*c*) what is outcome of their work ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Rs. 2,37,300.

(*b*) Five Betterment Levy Officers (Mysore, Simoga, Bellary, Munirabad and Belgaum) from 9th May 1961 up to 31st January 1963.

(*c*) The work done by the Betterment Levy Officers by way of collection of statistics in their tenure of appointment has helped in the introduction of a simpler provision in the Mysore Irrigation (Levy of Betterment Contribution and Water Rate) Act, 1957.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know how many officers were working-staff and officers put together ?

†Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—One Assistant Commissioner. One Thasildar second grade-revenue inspector-Revenue Surveyor, First Division Clerks 2, Second Division Clerk 1, Steno-typist 1, peon.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Whether it is a fact that all these persons were given some more pay for this particular work ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not aware of any more pay, Sir.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sir, this is mentioned in Government Order that these posts were to carry 10 percent of their basic pay to be given in addition to their pay, as this was a special work.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—If they are on other duties, they will be paid.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What are the recommendations and what is the data collected by these people from 9-5-1961 to 31-1-1963 ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—They tried to see how best the implementation of the betterment levy could be made. They studied the problem in all the areas. They came to the conclusion that they were unworkable. Certain changes were found necessary. Therefore, the new Act has come into being. That experience that has been gained has been made use of.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sir, I had occasion to visit this place personally. These people were simply sitting for 1½ years without doing any work. You said that not a single pie has been recovered by these people though they were appointed for this purpose.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—After this, the rules had to be framed and in order to frame these rules, they had to go into the field and make a report. Unfortunately they did not seem to have done much as per information furnished by my friend. But I am sure the experience they have gained has been of some use in the framing of the Act.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know what is the collections made in other areas Mysore, Shimoga, Bellary and Munirabad ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—No collections.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—You have been pleased to say that during the tenure of their appointment, they indulged in collecting statistics ; whether they have made any list of assessees, demands, etc.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—They did not indulge in any of these things. They only tried to do their duty.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.--ಎಷ್ಟುಹಣ ವಸೂಲಾಗಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಧರ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಬಾರದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ 2 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದೇ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕವಾದ ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವೇನು ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಅಪಾಯ್ಮ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗೇ ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—In regard to framing of the rules, for the implementation of the Act, I think the Secretariat is quite able to do it. Why a special branch was created in all these districts ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Because it is a special kind of work and the previous Act contemplated recovery based on the amount of produce per acre. That was I think an unpractical step which created difficulties. Therefore we felt it necessary to amend the Act.

Levying of Surcharge or Stamp Duty on the Registration of Documents.

*Q.—204. Sri V. MASIYAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether most of the Taluk Development Boards are levying a surcharge or Stamp duty on the Registration of documents in the Sub-Registrar's Offices ;

(*b*) whether the amounts collected on this account are being transferred to the Taluk Boards regularly immediately at the end of the quarters ?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Development, Panchyat Raj and Co-operation).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes, generally.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಮಸಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನನ್ನ (ಅ) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸ ಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಅನುಭವ ಇರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಆಯಾ ಕ್ವಾರ್ಟರ್ ಎಂಡ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಸೂಲಾದ ಮೊಬಲಗು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಂಡಲಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆಯಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಗಳು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅದರಿಂದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ವಿಷಯ ದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಿ ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ವಸೂಲಾದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಂಡಲಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿ ಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.